

LETTER OF APPLICATION AND
TESTIMONIALS

IN FAVOUR OF

NORMAN M. MACLEHOSE,
M.B., C.M.,

*CANDIDATE FOR THE POST OF ASSISTANT
SURGEON TO THE CENTRAL LONDON
OPHTHALMIC HOSPITAL.*

TO THE COMMITTEE OF THE
CENTRAL LONDON OPHTHALMIC HOSPITAL.

GENTLEMEN,

I beg to offer myself as a Candidate for the post of Assistant Surgeon at the Central London Ophthalmic Hospital.

I am a Bachelor of Medicine and Master in Surgery of the University of Glasgow, and hold the diploma in Public Health of the University of Cambridge.

For the last seven years I have devoted myself exclusively to ophthalmic work. The first of these years was spent abroad, when I studied at Berlin, chiefly under Professor Hirschberg, and at Vienna, under Professor Fuchs. I also spent some months at Innsbrück, working particularly at Ocular Pathology under Professor Borysiekiewicz.

I have been a Clinical Assistant at the Royal London Ophthalmic Hospital (Moorfields) for fully six years, having served in that capacity under Mr. Couper, Mr. Nettleship, and Mr. Gunn.

For nearly four years the chief editorship of the *Ophthalmic Review* has rested in my hands, and this has entailed a considerable acquaintance with the literature of the subject, both English and foreign.

I have also devoted a great deal of time to the study of Ocular Pathology in the laboratory and museum of Moorfields Hospital, and am very keenly interested in this branch of my profession.

Should you do me the honour to elect me to the office for which I am an applicant, it would be my special object to promote in every way in my power the interests of the Hospital and to serve it to the best of my ability.

I append a few testimonials in support of my candidature, and have the honour to remain,

GENTLEMEN,

Your obedient Servant,

NORMAN M. MACLEHOSE.

13 QUEEN ANNE STREET,
CAVENDISH SQUARE, W.,

October 30th, 1894.

*From JOHN COUPER, ESQ., Senior Surgeon, Royal
London Ophthalmic Hospital, Moorfields; Consult-
ing Surgeon, London Hospital.*

80 GROSVENOR STREET,
GROSVENOR SQUARE, W.,
October 29th, 1894.

MR. NORMAN M. MACLEHOSE became known to me about six years since as a highly able and cultivated graduate in medicine of the University of Glasgow. He had spent a year in Berlin and in Vienna, during which he had devoted special attention to the study of Ophthalmology.

He was thereafter appointed one of the clinical assistants at Moorfields Hospital, and has since continued to hold that office with rare ability and success.

During more than two years of that time he acted in close association with me in the care of out-patients, doing much valuable work and displaying all the qualities that go to make a good surgeon.

He is pre-eminently fitted by extensive clinical experience and by an accurate knowledge of the literature of Ophthalmology for the post of Assistant Surgeon at a large Eye Hospital.

JOHN COUPER.



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2016

<https://archive.org/details/b24933016>

From EDWARD NETTLESHIP, ESQ., *Ophthalmic Surgeon,*
St. Thomas' Hospital; Surgeon, Royal London
Ophthalmic Hospital, Moorfields.

5 WIMPOLE STREET,
CAVENDISH SQUARE, W.,
October 23rd, 1894.

I HAVE much pleasure in strongly recommending my friend MR. NORMAN M. MACLEHOSE to the favourable consideration of the Committee and staff of the Central London Ophthalmic Hospital, as a gentleman whose special attainments and personal qualities would render him a very valuable addition to the staff of that Institution.

Mr. MacLehose has had considerable experience in every branch of practical Ophthalmology at the Royal London (Moorfields) Ophthalmic Hospital, where, for several years, he has been and still is a Clinical Assistant with responsible charge of patients under myself and some of my colleagues. He has also paid much attention to the microscopical and pathological examination of the eye. He is an accomplished linguist, and is particularly well read in the literature of Ophthalmic Surgery and Medicine.

I have much regard for Mr. MacLehose as an able, painstaking, and most conscientious worker, with whom it is always a pleasure to be associated.

E. NETTLESHIP.

*From R. MARCUS GUNN, ESQ., Surgeon, Royal London
Ophthalmic Hospital, Moorfields; Ophthalmic Sur-
geon, National Hospital for Paralysed and Epileptic;
Assistant Ophthalmic Surgeon, University College
Hospital.*

54 QUEEN ANNE STREET,
CAVENDISH SQUARE, W.,
October 26th, 1894.

I HAVE known my friend MR. NORMAN M. MACLEHOSE intimately for a long time, and for the last six years have had the benefit of his assistance in my clinic at Moorfields. I have the greatest pleasure in taking this opportunity of saying how thoroughly well fitted Mr. MacLehose is, in my opinion, to occupy an important ophthalmic post.

Of his general and special education it is needless that I should speak further than to point out that it was doubtless chiefly due to his recognised literary and linguistic ability and his ophthalmological knowledge that he was asked to assume the acting editorship of the *Ophthalmic Review*. I know that he has an excellent acquaintance with foreign ophthalmic literature.

Mr. MacLehose is an acute observer, and highly skilled in the use of all the methods of examination that are employed in modern ophthalmic diagnosis. His clinical work is indeed in every way admirable, and I have the greatest confidence in his opinion concerning any case of difficulty where knowledge, accurate observation, and good judgment are requisite.

As a colleague, I cannot imagine anyone more eminently desirable than Mr. MacLehose. He is courteous and high-toned in all his conduct.

R. MARCUS GUNN.

From THOMAS REID, ESQ., M.D., Lecturer on Ophthalmology, University, Glasgow; Senior Surgeon Glasgow Eye Infirmary.

11 ELMBANK PLACE,
GLASGOW, *October 26th, 1894.*

I HAVE great pleasure in bearing testimony to the personal character and professional attainments of MR. NORMAN M. MACLEHOSE.

Mr. MacLehose attended the lectures (chiefly clinical and pathological) delivered at the University during the summer session of 1882, as well as the clinical demonstrations given in the Glasgow Eye Infirmary.

Mr. MacLehose availed himself to the fullest extent of the ample material at his disposal.

From frequent professional and other intercourse, I have had the means of observing the extent and accuracy of his knowledge of all departments of Ophthalmology, and chiefly on the clinical side, and I am satisfied, in the event of his obtaining the appointment of Assistant Surgeon to the Central London Ophthalmic Hospital, that he will discharge the duties of that office with zeal and industry, and to the entire satisfaction of the Committee and Governors.

THOMAS REID.

*From DR. J. HIRSCHBERG, Professor of Ophthalmology,
University of Berlin.*

BERLIN, N.W.,
KARL STRASSE, NO. 36,
October 27th, 1894.

DURING my 24 years' experience as University Lecturer and Professor, I remember DR. MACLEHOSE of London as one of my most assiduous pupils.

During the complete winter session 1887-88, Dr. MacLehose was daily present in my eye clinic, studied the methods of diagnosis and treatment of eye diseases, and made very good progress in both of them.

I was very much pleased to find this year Dr. MacLehose as an able and experienced Ophthalmic Surgeon at work in the Royal London Ophthalmic Hospital, and, too, as managing editor of the *Ophthalmic Review*, one of the best journals of ophthalmological science.

PROF. DR. J. HIRSCHBERG.

From J. B. LAWFORD, ESQ., Assistant Ophthalmic Surgeon and Lecturer on Ophthalmology, St. Thomas' Hospital; Assistant Surgeon, Royal London Ophthalmic Hospital, Moorfields.

55 QUEEN ANNE STREET,
CAVENDISH SQUARE, W.,
October 22nd, 1894.

I HAVE great pleasure in offering my testimony in support of MR. N. M. MACLEHOSE'S candidature for the post of Assistant Surgeon to the Central London Ophthalmic Hospital.

During the last six years I have been closely associated with Mr. MacLehose in hospital work at Moorfields, and during the last four years we have been colleagues on the editorial staff of the *Ophthalmic Review*.

Some years ago Mr. MacLehose attended my class on Operative Surgery of the Eye at St. Thomas' Hospital.

This long association has afforded me ample opportunities of becoming well acquainted with Mr. MacLehose's professional qualifications, and has led me to form a very high opinion of them. Mr. MacLehose's work at Moorfields Hospital, both clinical and pathological, has been extremely good; his knowledge of Ophthalmology is wide and thorough, and he takes an active interest in his profession. From personal observation, I know him to be a good operator. In my opinion, Mr. MacLehose is particularly well qualified for the post he is now seeking.

Of his personal qualities as a colleague, I can speak in the highest terms.

JOHN B. LAWFORD.

